MID-TERM PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF THE LAND AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM (MID-TERM PE LRDP)

BACKGROUND
USAID/Colombia’s Land and Rural Development Program is a five-year task order, initiated at the end of July 2013, under the Strengthening Tenure and Resource Rights (STARR) Indefinite Quantity Contract. As a part of the transition into a post-conflict society, LRDP was designed as an institutional strengthening initiative to help the Government of Colombia (GoC) improve its ability to resolve complex land and rural development issues through addressing institutional bottlenecks across four program components: restitution, formalization, rural development and information management. LRDP also works with the GoC to ensure that the needs of vulnerable populations such as women and ethnic minorities are met.

THE EVALUATION DESIGN
USAID designed and implemented a mid-term performance evaluation as a mixed-methods primary data collection and analysis to provide an in-depth view of the achievements to date and the ongoing challenges and potential opportunities for future programming. The evaluation uses a set of matched comparison and programming areas to compare trends using several research hypotheses that followed from the evaluation objectives and project theory guiding LRDP. The Mid-Term PE LRDP Design Report, the Mid-Term PE LRDP Findings Report, and other materials are available here: https://www.land-links.org/evaluation/land-rural-development-program-colombia/
BY THE NUMBERS
The Mid-Term PE LRDP measures outcomes at the government and household levels using two primary sources of quantitative data and two qualitative data, collected from 50 municipalities.

- 1,462 household surveys
- 81 government stakeholder surveys with land-restitution judges, LRU officials and mayors
- 10 focus group discussions with women, ethnic minority groups, and producer associations
- 65 key informant interviews with implementing partners, GoC representatives, et al.

KEY EVALUATION FINDINGS
Restitution efforts show solid evidence of LRU institutional strengthening. Stakeholders and key informants strongly agreed that the technical assistance and direct resources invested were valuable. Key informants also highlighted the special attention LRDP has drawn to gender and ethnic minorities, although some focus group discussions noted frustration over lack of communication with the LRU and challenges related to collective restitution. Although FGDs highlight that institutional trust still needs improvement, there is evidence that citizens perceive improved efficiency and fairness in the Colombian institutions that govern the land restitution process.

Formalization was the most challenging program component to implement. Evidence is limited on institutional strengthening, however, there are some important projects worth highlighting such as the establishment of the Land Offices in the municipalities of Ovejas, Santander de Quilichao and Fuente de Oro. The Tolima formalization program in Chaparral was noted as being highly successful and meaningful in supporting women in the formalization process. Despite some general institutional mistrust noted during FGDs, there is some evidence of increased citizens’ awareness of individual land rights across programming areas at the household level.

Rural development efforts show the most visible progress in facilitating the creation of Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs), assisting municipal governments in drafting and implementing development plans, and mobilizing private and public funds for rural development activities. There is evidence of institutional strengthening across producer associations, who report improvement in organizational skills and greater access to financial institutions. Although there is still fear of rural development government activities stemming from past experiences with inconsistent technical assistance and lack of communication, there is evidence of greater PPP participation in LRDP programming communities. Key informants conveyed that LRDP was a source of continuity for rural development efforts throughout the electoral cycles.

Information management efforts show improvements in land-related information management systems, the Land Restitution Registry system, procedures through the support of digitization efforts, the design of the Land Node, and promotion of cooperation across institutions. The clearest effect to date is increasing internal and inter-institutional coordination surrounding land records and other information between different land entities, which is critical to improving the efficiency of land restitution and the land formalization processes. While the Land Node could potentially be the most impactful idea and product from LRDP information management efforts, there is concern over the lack of full commitment and financial resources from all involved land entities.
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FOR MORE INFORMATION
For more information on land tenure and property rights and USAID’s work, please visit: WWW.LANDLINKS.ORG